arXiv:1607.08299v1 [math.PR] 28 Jul 2016

On dependent Bernoulli sequences with memory lapses.

Manuel González-Navarrete*, Rodrigo Lambert †

Abstract

We propose an approach to construct Benoulli sequences combining dependence and independence periods. We call the trials $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ as Bernoulli sequence with random dependence (BSRD). The dependence on the past, $S_i = X_1 + \ldots + X_i$, is activated by a collection of Bernoulli trials $\{Y_i, i \geq 1\}$, called *memory switch sequence*. We characterize the property of *memory lapse*, which is an interval of consecutive independent trials in BSRD. We study properties and discuss several applications of BSRD. The main result includes classical limit theorems for a class of linear BSRD.

1 Introduction and motivation

A sequence of Bernoulli trials $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ independent and identically distributed (i.i.d), with probability of success p, is one of the earliest studied subjects in statistics and probability theories. It is well known that the random variable $S_n = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$ has a binomial distribution with parameters n and p ($S_n \sim Bin(n, p)$). Many of their properties and theorems are treated extensively in several books of probability theory. Even more the very first forms of the law of large numbers and central limit theorem were obtained for such S_n, by J. Bernoulli and A. de Moivre, respectively, in the XVIII century.

The generalizations of such Bernoulli sequence have been widely explored. In this sense, if the trials are still independent, but not identically distributed, with the random variables $X_i \sim Bern(p_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have the so called Poisson trials, where the distribution of S_n is known as Poisson binomial (see Feller [6]). In another hand, if we suppose some dependence structure on the sequence $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, we can construct $\{X_i\}$ as a two-state discrete time Markov chain, which is also a well-studied subject in applied probability (see, for instance [17]).

In another line, Rutherford [13] introduced Bernoulli sequences for which the probability of a success depends on the number of previous successes. The applications were related with contagious diseases studies. In this regard, some classes of dependent Bernoulli sequences have been studied by Yu and Zelterman [19]. Formally, let $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \ldots, X_i)$ be the σ-field generated by the sequence $X_1, \ldots, X_i$. The probability of having a success on the $(i+1)$-th trial, given its past $\mathcal{F}_i$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 1|\mathcal{F}_i) = \mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 1|S_i), \tag{1.1}$$

that is, all the information of the past is summarized in S_i. In particular they used the notation

$$P_i(s) = \mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 1|S_i = s), \tag{1.2}$$

for $i \geq 1$ and $0 \leq s \leq i$. The work [19] studied some properties of different dependent Bernoulli sequences defined by (1.2), which were fitted to data related with interstitial pulmonary fibrosis. A general review of applications in epidemiology can be found in Chapter 7 of [20].

*Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo. Rua do Matão, 1010, CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brasil. e-mail: manuelg@ime.usp.br

†Faculdade de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia. Av. João Naves de Avila, 2121, CEP 38408-100, Uberlândia, MG, Brasil. e-mail: lambert@famat.ufu.br

Some years before, in another framework, Drezner and Farnum [4] initiated the study of Bernoulli sequences with a linear dependence in the form of (1.1). The motivation was the construction of a generalized binomial distribution, showing fitness to data of final standing of the teams in a baseball league. Such work has been complemented in other papers [3, 8, 12]. In this sense, Wu et al. [18] proposed the characterization of a bit general class of dependent Bernoulli sequences. Following notation (1.2), the model considered in [18] can be written as

$$P_i(s) = \alpha_i + g_i(s/i), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\alpha_i \geq 0$ is a sequence of constants, and $g_i(x)$ are non-negative measurable functions defined over $[0,1]$, such that $0 \leq \alpha_i + g_i(x) \leq 1$ for $x \in [0,1]$ and $g_i(0) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. As we will see in Table 1, this representation includes the models under consideration in previous works [13, 19, 20]. Moreover, recently Coletti et al. [2] showed a relation between (1.3) and the jump probabilities of non-Markovian random walks introduced in Hod and Keshet [9] and Schütz and Trimper [14], which they called *elephant random walk*.

In this work we propose an approach to construct Bernoulli sequences which have flexibility to combine dependent and independent periods. Inspired by the conditional probability provided in (1.1), we introduce Bernoulli sequences $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ having a random dependence on their past (given by S_i). This dependence will obey the following rule. It will be activated/inactivated by an auxiliary collection of Bernoulli trials $\{Y_i, i \geq 1\}$, which is called the *memory switch sequence*. The dependence will be in "on" (resp. "off") mode, whenever the switch factor "$Y_i = 1$" (resp. "$Y_i = 0$").

In this paper we characterize some properties of such Bernoulli sequences with random dependence (BSRD) and provide several examples of applications, which include contagious diseases, merchandising and correlated random walks. The main results are classical limit theorems for S_n in a class of BSRD $\{X_i\}$ with linear dependence. In addition, we study the patterns of memory switch sequence $\{Y_i\}$, assuming different structures for such Bernoulli trials, that is, the i.i.d. and Poisson trials cases. We remark that characterization of the memory switch sequence is crucial to understand the cyclic behaviours of dependence/independence of the Bernoulli collection $\{X_i\}$. In other words, for instance, a large string of 0's in $\{Y_i\}$ represents a long period of independence in trials of the BSRD $\{X_i\}$, which we shall define as a *memory lapse*. The property of memory lapses will be exploited in applications.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the BSRD, discussing properties and including the main results, which contain limit theorems for a class of linear dependence, that is, strong law of large numbers, central limit theorem and law of iterated logarithm for S_n. Section 3 discuss several applications of BSRD. Some results about pattern behaviours for memory switch sequence $\{Y_i\}$ will be presented in Section 4, which give us details of the memory lapse property. The proofs of limit theorems (Theorem 1) and other results are included in Section 5.

2 Bernoulli sequences with random dependence. Definitions and main results

Inspired by the models discussed above and trying to reach a wider range of real problems, we propose a more general way of seeing Bernoulli sequences. This new approach is given by combining independent and dependent Bernoulli trials. The factor that rules this dependence is a collection of Bernoulli random variables $\{Y_i, i \geq 1\}$, the *memory switch sequence*. The trials $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ will be called Bernoulli sequence with random dependence (BSRD). In this section we present this model and the results about it.

Since dependent Bernoulli sequences admits some classical results, one may ask if they still hold for these more general models. Answering such questions is the main goal of this paper, and presenting some of that answers is the purpose of the present section.

First we give notation and definitions and include properties of BSRD. In particular, we discuss a class of linear dependence. Finally, we obtain the main result (Theorem 1) including classical limit theorems for such linear BSRD.

2.1 Definition and existence of the process

Let us consider a sequence of Bernoulli trials $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ and a collection of independent Bernoulli random variables $\{Y_i, i \geq 1\}$ with $\mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1) = \lambda_i$, being independent of $S_i = X_1 + \ldots + X_i$, for all $i \geq 1$. The dependence structure of $\{X_i\}$ will be associated to auxiliary sequence $\{Y_i\}$ (for short notation), which we call *memory switch sequence*. Formally, the probability of having a success at $(i+1)$-th trial is related to their past information S_i and the realization of the random variable Y_i, as follows

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 1 | \mathcal{F}_i^X, \mathcal{F}_i^Y) = \mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 1 | S_i, Y_i), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_i^Y = \sigma(Y_1, \ldots, Y_i)$ is the σ-field generated by the sequence $\{Y_i\}$ (and similar for $\mathcal{F}_i^X$). Let denote

$$P_i^*(s, y) = \mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 1 | S_i = s, Y_i = y), \tag{2.2}$$

for all $i \geq 1$, $0 \leq s \leq i$ and $y = 0, 1$. We recall that P^* is defined in a different probability space than P in (1.2). We remark that, for $y = 0$ the probability $P_i^*(s, 0)$ will not depend on the previous successes S_i. However, if $y = 1$, the dependence exists. We think random variable Y_i as an external factor that determines the choice of dependence (or independence) on the past S_i for the trial X_{i+1}.

In particular, we suppose that

$$P_i^*(s, 0) = P_i(0) \quad \text{and} \quad P_i^*(s, 1) = P_i(s), \tag{2.3}$$

where $P_i(\cdot)$ is the probability for the model with non-random dependence defined in (1.2). We say that a success in memory switch trials $\{Y_i\}$ will activate the dependence in the sequence $\{X_i\}$. Otherwise, the correspondent trial in BSRD will not depend on the number of previous successes S_i. In this sense, a period of $l-$independent steps ($l-$steps with memory mode equals to *off*) will be called a *memory lapse* (see definition (1)). Discussions and examples of it will be provided in Section 3.

Therefore, this model generalizes the classes of independent and dependent Bernoulli trials, including the family of dependent sequences in (1.1). Then, we have the following result, which will be proved in Section 5.

Proposition 1. *Consider a BSRD $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, as defined by (2.2). If (2.3) holds, then*

$$P_i^*(s) = \mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 1 | S_i = s) = (1 - \lambda_i) P_i(0) + \lambda_i P_i(s). \tag{2.4}$$

Then, if $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ ($\lambda_i \equiv 0$), the BSRD has the same probability measure than independent Poisson trials, with probability of success $P_i(0)$. Moreover, if $\lambda_i \equiv 1$, the BSRD belongs in the class of dependent Bernoulli trials defined in (1.2).

We recall that this result allows us to obtain finite-dimensional laws $\mathbb{P}(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)$, for all $n \geq 1$. This fact assures the existence of the process. That is, the BSRD are well-defined. Also, observe that (2.4) can be written as $P_i^*(s) = P_i(0) + \lambda_i(P_i(s) - P_i(0))$. In this case, if we consider the family in (1.3), with $P_i(s) = P_i(0) + g_i(s)$, then parameter λ_i will only influence on the non-random function of previous successes g_i.

In this work we propose the analysis of BRSD, which generalize previous models in literature. As an illustration of the applicability of the BSRD, we study the properties of the random generalization (2.2)-(2.3), for the class of dependent Bernoulli sequences described in Wu et al. [18], the dependence is linear on previous successes. We describe as follows. Formally

$$P_i^*(s,y) = \alpha_i + \beta_i \frac{sy}{i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) = P_0^*(s) = \alpha_0, \tag{2.5}$$

for all $i \geq 1$, $0 \leq s \leq i$ and $y = 0, 1$. Parameters $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0$ and $\alpha_i + \beta_i \leq 1$.

Next, we give main theorem about classical limit theorems for S_i. Moreover, in Section 3 we justify the choice of the linear BSRD (2.5), and illustrate their applications.

2.2 Main Result: limit theorems for BSRD

We present the main theorem of this article. It is a collection of three classical limit theorems for S_n in (2.5). In Theorem 1 we show a strong law of large numbers (SLLN), central limit theorem (CLT) and law of iterated logarithm (LIL). The proof will be included in Section 5.1.

In the case of non-random dependence sequences, limit theorems have been proved for the generalized binomial distribution in Table 1 (see Heydes [8] and Drezner [3]). An improvement for such results was given by James et al. [12], who found conditions to obtain limit theorems. Recently, Wu et al. [18] and Zhang and Zhang [21] obtained the SLLN, CLT and LIL for the case of linear function in (1.3).

Theorem 1. *Consider a BSRD $\{X_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ as in (2.5). Then, there exist sequences of positive constants $\{a_n\}$, $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$, for $n \geq 1$ (see definitions (5.2) and (5.5)), which depend on parameters $\{\beta_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ and $\{\lambda_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$, such that*

(i)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)}{n} = 0 \quad a.s \tag{2.6}$$

if and only if,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \beta_k \lambda_k}{1+k} = \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

(ii) If in addition

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} B_n = \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_n}{B_n} < \infty, \tag{2.8}$$

then

$$\frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)}{a_n B_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1), \qquad as \;\; n \to \infty, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \pm \frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)}{a_n B_n \sqrt{\log\log B_n}} = \sqrt{2} \qquad a.s. \tag{2.10}$$

In (2.9), the letter "d" means convergence in law or distribution (weak convergence).

The most usual tools in the literature, like classical Kolmogorov's condition for SLLN in part (i) and Lyapunov/Lindeberg conditions for CLT in part (ii), claim independence for the random variables. Since it's not the case, it is necessary a non-classical approach in order of proving the above results. This proof will be given in Section 5.1, and is based on convergence results for bounded martingale differences (see for instance [7]).

3 Applications

In this section we discuss applications of the BSRD defined in previous section. First, we summarize a class of dependent Bernoulli sequences included in literature, which have been applied in epidemiology (see [19]). The extension of such models through the BSRD will be exposed. Moreover, we analyse the linear case proposed in (2.5), addressing examples referring to publicity modelling, computing finance and correlated random walks. The notion of memory lapse is defined and explored in applications.

Consider a contagious disease affecting a finite population. Each of the individuals can be described by Bernoulli distributed indicators of their disease status. In other words, X_i equals one if the i-th member is a diseased case and X_i is zero if this member is otherwise healthy. The collection of Bernoulli trials $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ has been studied in [19, 20] under assumption (1.1). In Table 1 we include several cases in literature.

Model name	**Conditional probability** $P_i(s)$	**Parameters restriction**	**Ref.**
Contagious distribution	$p + \beta s$	$\beta > 0, \beta < (1-p)/n$ or $\beta < 0, \lvert\beta\rvert < p/n$	[13]
Generalized binomial	$(1-\theta)p + \theta s/i$	$\theta \leq 1$	[4]
Beta-Binomial $\left(\frac{p}{a}, \frac{1-p}{a}\right)$	$\dfrac{p}{ai+1} + \dfrac{a}{ai+1}s$	$a \geq -p/n$	[19, 20]
Incremental risk	$\dfrac{\exp(a+bs)}{1+\exp(a+bs)}$	$a, b \in \mathbb{R}$	[19, 20]

Table 1: Bernoulli sequences from literature with non-random dependence on the past as in (1.3). Parameter $p = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1)$, is the initial probability of the trials.

Note that, for each model in Table 1, it is possible to construct an analogous BSRD defined by (2.2)-(2.3). Then, applications of BSRD in epidemiology are in evidence. We give a first example to understand the effects of memory switch sequence $\{Y_i\}$ in the case of a contagious disease.

Example 1. *Consider the contagious disease situation above. That is, the Bernoulli trials $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ represent the disease status of each individuals. Suppose that a genetic or environmental component may induce dependence among members of the population. We model such dependence with the BSRD in* (2.5)*. In this sense, consider the following two situations.*

(a) We denote switch factor $Y_i = \mathbb{I}_{\{Z_i < z\}}$, where Z_i is a continuous random variable which represents the concentration of an "immunizing antibody" related to $(i+1)$-th individual. Then, if $\{Z_i \geq z\}$, the probability of $(i+1)$-th member become diseased is independent of historical of such disease in the population. Otherwise, the probability will increase by the (familiar) historical of successes S_i (number of sickness individuals until i).

(b) Also, Y_i may denote the effectiveness of a vaccine to prevent infection. Here $Y_i = 0$ means that the vaccine for $(i+1)$-th member works. Otherwise the vaccine does not actuate and the probability increases by the historical of sickness individuals.

Going on in the paper, we take a look at BSRD as being stochastic processes. Particularly, we remark two important facts related to a realization of a process as in (2.5).

(R1) If $Y_i = 0$ then X_{i+1} is independent of its past. In other words, we choose X_{i+1} from a Bernoulli distribution with parameter α_i independent of the observation $(x_1, \ldots, x_i)$.

(R2) If $Y_i = 1$ then X_{i+1} should be dependent of its past. Also, the probability of $X_{i+1} = 1$ increases by $\beta_i \frac{S_i}{i}$. That is, the past of sequence $\{X_i\}$ represented by the mean value S_i/i turns more likely a success at $(i+1)$-th trial, weighting by parameter β_i.

Note that, by (R1) a period of independence in the BSRD is given by a string of 0's in the memory switch sequence. In this sense, we give the following definition.

Definition 1. *A memory lapse in the BSRD $\{X_i\}$, is given by an interval $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $Y_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$ and there is no interval $J \supset I$ such that $Y_i = 0$ for all $i \in J$. The length of the lapse is $|I|$.*

In some sense, we think this period as a lapse because after these, the model always will recover the dependence on the whole past, given by the memory S_i. In Section 4 we will characterize the pattern behaviours of such memory lapses.

Now, we give some examples to refer potential applications of the BSRD as in (2.5).

Example 2. *Suppose that some enterprise develops a product for customers which can buy it by need or by another reason. Thinking on it, an advertising program $\{Y_i\}$ is launched, that can or cannot reach the customers. Let be α_i the quantity related to necessity and β_i the quantity related to the publicity associated to $(i+1)$-th customer. If $Y_i = 1$, the good history of selling will raise the probability of the customer buying the product ($X_{i+1} = 1$). This occurs according to (R2), since the publicity reached him/her. Here, a memory lapse can be interpreted as a group of consecutive customers for which the publicity does not reach.*

Example 3. *Imagine that there is a computer used to buy/sell some active in the stock market. This computer obeys an algorithm that determines such decision. At the $(i+1)$-th decision, the computer tries to access the historical buying/selling activity. If it has success ($Y_i = 1$), then its decision will be based on the history of the active. If it doesn't (due by some noise or stoppage, for instance), then it decides to buy (or sell) the active only by flipping a coin. A memory lapse of size l means a string of l consecutive decisions without looking for the data. Here, we are supposing that although its memory is unaccessible ($Y_i = 0$), it has to take a decision anyway.*

Example 4. *We introduce the one-dimensional correlated random walks (RW) studied by Hod and Keshet [9]. In this case, the Bernoulli random variables mean steps up or down, if $X_i = 1$ or $X_i = 0$, respectively. The representation of RW in [9], by conditional probability (1.2), is given by $P_i(s) = \frac{1}{2}\big(1 - \mu\frac{i}{i+l}\big) + \mu\frac{s}{i+l}$, where, $l > 0$ and $-1 < \mu < 1$. Applications in coarse-grained[1] DNA sequences, written binary texts studies, and financial data have been discussed in [9]. We propose the modeling with BSRD to include a factor (genetic, linguistic or economical) that will influence in the dependence of such RW. Then, the models in [9] could have the memory lapse property characterized by behavioural properties of switch sequence $\{Y_i\}$.*

The next section is dedicated to study the *memory switch sequence*. Deepening the analysis of the dependence structure of BSRD $\{X_i\}$, we shall provide results for pattern behaviours of $\{Y_i\}$, which characterize the memory lapses property in Definition 1.

[1] in which cytosine (C) and guanine (G), are represented by symbol 1 and adenine (A) or thymine (T) represents symbol 0.

4 Characterization of the memory lapses. Pattern behaviours of switch sequence $\{Y_i\}$

The present section is dedicated to obtain properties of the memory switch sequence $\{Y_i, i \geq 1\}$. In the previous section we supposed that the sequence is a collection of independent trials with $\mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1) = \lambda_i$. As we explained in Section 2, informations about dependence structure of BSRD $\{X_i\}$ can be obtained by characterizing the patterns behaviours of $\{Y_i\}$. Here the goal is to better understand Proposition 1. Indeed, such result only characterizes the extremal cases, $\lambda_i \equiv 0$ or 1.

There are many interesting possibilities to study the pattern properties for sequence $\{Y_i\}$, by assuming different forms for the parameters collection $\{\lambda_i\}$. We focus in two general cases. First, the i.i.d. case, for which we discuss some classical results in literature. The second, is the so called Poisson trials, that is, the Bernoulli random variables of the sequence $\{Y_i, i \geq 1\}$ are independent, but not necessarily identically distributed. We obtain results using techniques from recent works in the study of pattern strings in Bernoulli sequences (see, for instance [1, 10, 15, 16]).

In particular, we obtain Proposition 2, which includes expectations for random variables characterizing the pattern behaviours of memory switch sequence. Such results under assumptions of independence and not identical distribution will be compared with i.i.d. case.

4.1 The i.i.d. case

We study the dependence properties of BSRD $\{X_i\}$, for which memory switch sequence $\{Y_i\}$ is i.i.d with probability of success λ. We focus in two kind of questions. The first is related to the study of waiting times, for instance, define the random variable given by the first time we observe a fixed pattern of 0's or 1's. The second kind of question is associated to the counting of the *memory lapses* (see Definition 1) of size l in a given number n of trials. We summarize main results in literature.

We analyse waiting times for switch sequence $\{Y_i\}$, based on the approach introduced in [5], the so called *frequency (FQ) and succession (SQ) quotas* for Bernoulli trials. In the case of a frequency quota, in view of Example 2, suppose that there is a criterion to evaluate publicity. In this sense, if it completes a fixed quantity of non-reached customers, then the publicity is removed. That is, we are interesting in the waiting time until attain a given quota of 0's. In the case of succession quota, consider the Example 1(b), we define the waiting time given by the first instant that we obtain s consecutive 1's in the memory switch sequence. In other words, we are interesting in the first time for which the vaccine does not work consecutively in s patients.

Formally, denote W_r^{FQ} the waiting time until complete r failures in $\{Y_i\}$. Note that random variable W_r^{FQ} follows a negative binomial distribution, with parameters $1-\lambda$ and r. Then, decision of remove publicity should be taken by the probability distribution of a negative binomial random variable. If we want to see the proportion of failures, then we will deal with a binomial distribution. To ilustrate this, if we choose a "critical proportion" of failures $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Then the probability of reach this proportion will be given by $P(Z_n \leq \lfloor n\delta \rfloor)$, where $Z_n \sim Bin(n, \lambda)$.

Focusing in the succession quota, let W_s^{SQ} be the waiting time until observe the first memory lapse of size s. From [5], we obtain a probability generating function for W_s^{SQ}, given by

$$\phi_W(t) = \frac{(1-qt)(qt)^s}{(1-\lambda t)(1-qt) - \lambda q t^2 (1-(qt)^{s-1})}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $q = (1-\lambda)$. In particular, it is possible to obtain $\mathbb{E}(W_s^{SQ}) = (1-q^s)/(\lambda q^s)$.

Finally, we modify the approach to study pattern behaviours of the *memory lapses*. Then, define the random variables $M_l(n)$, $l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, representing the number of memory lapses of length l in the first n trials of $\{Y_i\}$. Formally,

$$M_l(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-l-1} \begin{array}{l} Y_k(1-Y_{k+1})\cdots(1-Y_{k+l})Y_{k+l+1} \\ \quad + (1-Y_1)\cdots(1-Y_l)Y_{l+1} \ + \ Y_{n-l}(1-Y_{n-l+1})\cdots(1-Y_n), \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

for $n > l$, and where second and third terms represent the possibility to have a memory lapse at the beginning and at the end of the sequence, respectively. In other words, $M_l(n)$ is the number of runs of 0's (see [6, 11]) of length l in the first n trials of $\{Y_i\}$. By a straightforward calculation we have

$$\mathbb{E}(M_l(n)) = (1-\lambda)^l[2\lambda + \lambda^2(n-l-1)], \quad n > l, \tag{4.3}$$

and $\mathbb{E}(M_n(n)) = (1-\lambda)^n$. This kind of random variables has been studied in several works, for instance, general results about functionals of random variables $M_l(n)$, are discussed in Holst and Konstantopoulos [11].

4.2 Poisson trials with $\lambda_i = a(a+b+i-1)^{-1}$

Continuing the counting of the number of fixed patterns obtained in a sequence of n trials, we suppose that trials $\{Y_i\}$ are not identically distributed. In particular, we consider an independent Bernoulli sequence with parameters $\lambda_i = a/(a+b+i-1)$, which is usually denoted by $Bern(a,b)$ and have been studied in different contexts. For instance, the sequence $Bern(1,0)$ arises in the limit in the study of cycles in random permutations [15] and record values of continuous random variables [10]. Moreover in nonparametric Bayesian inference and species allocation models, the sequence $Bern(a,0)$ has several applications (see [15, 16] and references therein).

In addition to $M_l(n)$ in (4.2), we will study random variables associated to some patterns of dependence in a BSRD $\{X_i, 1 \le i \le n+1\}$. First, we will be interested in alternating dependence-independence periods of BSRD. Formally, as functions of the switch sequence $\{Y_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$, we have

$$A_l(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2l+1} Y_k(1-Y_{k+1})\cdots Y_{k+2l-2}(1-Y_{k+2l-1}), \tag{4.4}$$

being the number of alternating dependence-independence periods of size $2l$ in the first $n+1$ trials of the BSRD, where $n > 2l$. Second pattern is given by

$$T_2(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} Y_k Y_{k+1}(1-Y_{k+2}), \tag{4.5}$$

being the number of tails of dependence cycles of length at least 2 in the BSRD, for $n > 2$.

Therefore, we have the next result that characterizes the memory lapses property of the BSRD $\{X_i\}$, when memory switch sequence $\{Y_i\}$ is a collection of Poisson trials, with $\lambda_i = 1/(b+i)$. The proof is included in Section 5.

Proposition 2. *Suppose that $\{Y_i, 1 \le i \le n\}$ is $Bern(1,b)$. Then,*

(i) for all $n > l \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}(M_l(n)) = \frac{2b+l}{(b+l)(b+l+1)}. \tag{4.6}$$

(ii) For all $n > 2l$,

$$\mathbb{E}(A_l(n)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2l+1} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{k+b+2i-1}, \tag{4.7}$$

(iii) for all $n > 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}(T_2(n)) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3+2b}{(1+b)(2+b)} - \frac{2n+2b-1}{(n+b-1)(n+b)}\right). \tag{4.8}$$

We remark that it is possible to obtain second moments as recursive functions of first moments obtained in Proposition 2.

Note that different to (4.3), in (4.6) the expectation does not depend on the value of n. This is an interesting feature of such class of Poisson trials. Also note that, in the i.i.d. case $\mathbb{E}(A_l(n)) = (n-2l)(\lambda(1-\lambda))^l$.

In this sense, we conclude this section discussing some facts about the limit version of memory switch sequence $\{Y_i\}$, when such trials are $Bern(a,b)$.

First, notice that $\sum_{k\geq 1}\mathbb{E}(Y_k) = \infty$, by second Borel-Cantelli lemma we have that, $\sum_{k\geq 1} Y_k = \infty$ almost sure. That is, the number of successes is almost surely infinity. However, the number of strings $\{11\}$ is almost surely finite, since $\sum_{k\geq 1}\mathbb{E}(Y_kY_{k+1}) < \infty$. Then, this kind of memory switch sequence should be associated to BSRD with rarely dependence periods.

In another hand, the collection of random variables $Z_l = \sum_{k\geq 1} Y_k(1-Y_{k+1})\cdots(1-Y_{k+l-1})Y_{k+l}$, for $l \geq 1$, when $\{Y_i\}$ are $Bern(1,b)$, have been extensively studied. In this sense, Sethuraman and Sethuraman [15] obtained properties of such random variables in the general case. Some results for the case of $Bern(1,0)$ and relation with cycles in random permutations, can be found in Arratia et al. [1]. In particular, the convergence of $\{Z_l, l \geq 1\}$, when $l \to \infty$, to independent Poisson distributed random variables, with mean l^{-1}.

5 Proofs

Proof of Proposition 1. Note that, by independence of Y_i and S_i, from (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_i^*(s) &= \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_{i+1}=1, S_i=s, Y_i=y)}{\mathbb{P}(S_i=s)} \\ &= \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}} \mathbb{P}(X_{i+1}=1|S_i=s, Y_i=y)\mathbb{P}(Y_i=y) \\ &= \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}} P_i^*(s,y)\mathbb{P}(Y_i=y) \\ &= (1-\lambda_i)P_i(0) + \lambda_i P_i(s). \end{aligned}$$

As particular cases, if $\lambda_i \equiv 0$, thus $P_i^*(s) := \mathbb{P}(X_{i+1}=1|S_i=s) = P_i(0)$, for all s and i. Then, we have a sequence of Poisson trials $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ with probability of success $P_i(0)$.

For, $\lambda_i \equiv 1$, $P_i^*(s) = P_i(s)$ as defined by (1.2). That completes the proof □

Proof of Proposition 2. (i) First, from equation (4.2) and the independence of trials $\{Y_i\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(M_l(n)) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-l-1} \lambda_k(1-\lambda_{k+1})\cdots(1-\lambda_{k+l})\lambda_{k+l+1} \;+\; (1-\lambda_1)\cdots(1-\lambda_l)\lambda_{l+1} \\ &\quad + \lambda_{n-l}(1-\lambda_{n-l+1})\cdots(1-\lambda_n) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-l-1} \left(\frac{1}{k+b+l} - \frac{1}{k+b+l+1}\right) + \frac{b}{(b+l)(b+l+1)} + \frac{1}{n+b}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, solving the telescoping sum, we obtain (4.6).

(ii) Now, by using (4.4) and the independence of Bernoulli trials under consideration

$$\mathbb{E}(A_l(n)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-2l+1} \frac{1}{k+b}\cdot\frac{k+b}{k+b+1}\cdot\frac{1}{k+b+2}\cdots\frac{1}{k+b+2l-2}\cdot\frac{k+b+2l-2}{k+b+2l-1}.$$

Then (4.7) follows. Particularly, $\mathbb{E}(A_2(n)) = \frac{5+2b}{2(2+b)(3+b)} - \frac{2n+2b-1}{2(n+b-1)(n+b)}$.

(iii) By similar arguments above

$$\mathbb{E}(T_2(n)) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n-2}\left(\frac{1}{k+b} - \frac{1}{k+b+2}\right),$$

then (4.8) follows. □

5.1 Proof of main Theorem

The proof of Theorem 1 is based in general results about convergence of martingale differences (see Hall and Heyde [7]). The first step is the definition of a discrete-time martingale associated to the difference $S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)$ in (2.6). That is, define

$$M_n = \frac{S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n)}{a_n} \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$a_1 = 1 \text{ and } a_n = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1 + \frac{\beta_k}{k}\lambda_k\right) \text{ for } n \geq 2, \tag{5.2}$$

and denote

$$D_1 = M_1 \qquad D_n = M_n - M_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{5.3}$$

We will prove, in Lemma 3, that $\{D_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of bounded martingale differences. Then, in order to prove Theorem 1 we will use next lemmas, which are particular cases of Theorem 2.17 and Corollary 3.1 included in Hell and Heyde [7].

Lemma 1. *Let $\{Z_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of martingale differences. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}[Z_n^2|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}] < \infty$ a.s., then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i$ converges almost surely.*

Lemma 2. *Let $\{Z_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of martingale differences. Assume that there exists a sequence of positive constants $\{W_n\}$ such that $W_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\frac{1}{W_n^2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}[Z_j^2|\mathcal{F}_{j-1}] \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2$. Then $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_j}{W_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,\sigma^2)$.*

Next result is to check conditions in previous lemmas.

Lemma 3. $\{D_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ *in* (5.3) *are bounded martingale differences.*

Proof. First, we prove that M_n in (5.1) is a martingale:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] &= \frac{1}{a_{n+1}}\mathbb{E}[S_n + X_{n+1} - \mathbb{E}(S_n) - \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1})|\mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= \frac{1}{a_{n+1}}\big(S_n + \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] - \mathbb{E}(S_n) - \mathbb{E}(X_{n+1})\big).\end{aligned}$$

By noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] &= \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}} \mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = 1|\mathcal{F}_n, Y_n = y)\mathbb{P}(Y_n = y) \\ &= (1-\lambda_n)\alpha_n + \lambda_n\left(\alpha_n + \frac{\beta_n}{n}S_n\right) \\ &= \alpha_n + \frac{\beta_n}{n}\lambda_n S_n,\end{aligned}$$

and, given the independence of Y_n and S_n

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(X_{n+1}) &= \sum_{y\in\{0,1\}}\sum_{s=0}^{n}\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = 1|S_n = s, Y_n = y)\mathbb{P}(S_n = s)\mathbb{P}(Y_n = y) \\ &= (1-\lambda_n)\alpha_n + \lambda_n\big(\alpha_n\mathbb{P}(S_n = 0) + \cdots + (\alpha_n + \beta_n)\mathbb{P}(S_n = n)\big) \\ &= \alpha_n + \frac{\beta_n}{n}\lambda_n\sum_{i=0}^{n} i\mathbb{P}(S_n = i) \\ &= \alpha_n + \frac{\beta_n}{n}\lambda_n\mathbb{E}(S_n).\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\{M_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a martingale. Now, observe that for all $n \geq 2$

$$D_n = \frac{X_n - \mathbb{E}(X_n)}{a_n} - \frac{S_{n-1} - \mathbb{E}(S_{n-1})}{n-1}\frac{\beta_{n-1}}{a_n}\lambda_{n-1},$$

and

$$|D_n| \leq \frac{2}{a_n}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{5.4}$$

In other words, $\{D_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ are bounded martingale differences. □

Proving item (ii), we will need to define

$$A_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{a_i^2}, \quad B_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{p_i(1-p_i)}{a_i^2}, \tag{5.5}$$

where $p_i = \mathbb{P}(X_i = 1)$ and a_i as in (5.2).

Proof of Theorem 1. We mainly follow the schemes of Theorem 2.2 of [12] and Theorem 2 of [18]. First of all, note that

$$\frac{a_n}{n} = \frac{1}{n}\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{k+\beta_k\lambda_k}{k}\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{k+\beta_k\lambda_k}{k+1}\right), \tag{5.6}$$

since $\beta_k\lambda_k \leq 1$, thus a_n/n is non-increasing. Moreover, $\frac{a_n}{n} = \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\log(\frac{k+\beta_k\lambda_k}{k+1}))$ $= \exp(-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{1-\beta_k\lambda_k}{k+1} + O(1))$. Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n/n = 0$ if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1-\beta_k\lambda_k}{k+1} = \infty$

(i) Initially assume (2.7). That implies $\frac{a_n}{n} \to 0$. Given Lemma 3, define the martingale differences $Z_i = \frac{a_i}{i}D_i$. By (5.4) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}[Z_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{4}{i^2} < \infty$ a.s. Lemma 1 implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i$ converges a.s. Now we use Kronecker's lemma to obtain (2.6), that is

$$\frac{a_n}{n}M_n = \frac{a_n}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{i}{a_i}D_i \to 0 \text{ a.s. as } n\to\infty.$$

On the other hand, suppose $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1-\beta_k\lambda_k}{1+k} < \infty$. Apply (5.6) to say $v = \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_n}{n} \in (0.1]$ and using (5.4), $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}[D_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{4}{a_i^2} < \infty$ a.s. Again, from Lemma 1 we obtain $M_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$ converges a.s. to some random variable M, with $\mathrm{Var}(M) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathrm{Var}(M_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{E}(D_i^2) > 0$. Hence, M is a non-degenerate random variable. Thus, $\frac{a_n}{n}M_n$ also converges to a non-degenerate random variable, and that completes the proof.

(ii) By notation (5.5), $\lim_{n\to\infty} B_n = \infty$ implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = \infty$. Note that, as we showed in (5.6), $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n/n > 0$ implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n < \infty$. Then, condition (2.8) yields (2.7), and using (5.1), $\mathbb{E}[D_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] = \frac{p_i(1-p_i)}{a_i^2} + o(\frac{1}{a_i^2})$ a.s., which means that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\mathbb{E}[D_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}]}{B_n^2} \xrightarrow{a.s} 1. \tag{5.7}$$

Finally, by Lemma 2 we obtain (2.9).

Proving the LIL in (2.10), note that (2.8) implies $B_{n+1}^2/B_n^2 \to 1$ as $n\to\infty$, which together with (5.7) above and by Lemma 3.5 in [12] complete the proof.

□

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Miguel Abadi and Guilherme Ost for several comments. MGN thanks financial support from FAMAT-UFU in his one week visit in November 2015. MGN is supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, FAPESP (grant 2015/02801-6). RL thanks NUMEC/Maclinc project from Center for Neuromathematics (IME-USP) for warm hospitality, and FAPESP project 2014/19805-1.

References

[1] Arratia, R., Barbour, A.D. and Tavaré, S. (2003) *Logarithmic Combinatorial Structures: A Probabilistic Approach*. European Mathematical Society, Zürich.

[2] Coletti, C. Gava, R.J. and Schütz, G. (2016) *Central limit theorem for the elephant random walk.* Private communication.

[3] Drezner, Z. (2006) *On the Limit of the Generalized Binomial Distribution.* Comm. Statist. Theory Methods **35**(2), 209–221.

[4] Drezner, Z. and Farnum, N. (1993) *A generalized binomial distribution.* Comm. Statist. Theory Methods **22**, 3051–3063.

[5] Ebneshahrashoob, M. and Sobel, M. (1990) *Sooner and later waiting time problems for bernoulli trials: frequency and run quotas.* Statis. Probab. Lett. **9**, 5–11.

[6] Feller, W. (1968) *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 1.* John Wiley, New York.

[7] Hell, P. and Heyde, C.C. (1980) *Martingale limit theory and its application.* Academic Press, New York.

[8] Heyde, C.C. (2004) *Asymptotics and criticality for a correlated Bernoulli process.* Aust. N. Z. J. Statist. **46**, 53–57.

[9] Hod, S. and Keshet, U. (2004) *Phase transition in random walks with long-range correlations.* Phys. Rev. E, **70**, 015104(R).

[10] Holst, L. (2011) *A note on records in a random sequence.* Ark. Mat. **49**, 351–356.

[11] Holst, L. and Konstantopoulos, T. (2015) *Runs in coin tossing: a general approach for deriving distributions for functionals.* J. Appl. Probab. **52**, 752–770.

[12] James, B., James, K. and Qi, Y. (2008) *Limit theorems for correlated Bernoulli random variables.* Statis. Probab. Lett. **78**(15), 2339–2345.

[13] Rutherford, R.S.G. (1954) *On a contagious distribution.* Ann. Math. Statist. **36**, 1272–1278.

[14] Schütz, G. and Trimper, S. (2004) *Elephants can always remember: Exact long-range memory effects in a non-Markovian random walk.* Phys. Rev. E, **70**, 045101.

[15] Sethuraman, J. and Sethuraman, S. (2004) *On counts of Bernoulli strings and connections to rank orders and random permutations.* In A festschrift for Herman Rubin (IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser. 45), Institute for Mathematical Statistics, Beachwood, OH, pp. 140–152.

[16] Sethuraman, J. and Sethuraman, S. (2010) *Connections Between Bernoulli Strings and Random Permutations.* In The Legacy of Alladi Ramakrishnan in the Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York.

[17] Suhov, Y. and Kelbert, M. (2008) *Probability and Statistics by Example. Volume 2. Markov Chains: A Primer in Random Processes and their Applications.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[18] Wu, L., Qi, Y. and Yang, J. (2012) *Asymptotics for dependent Bernoulli random variables.* Statist. Probab. Lett. **82**(3), 455–463.

[19] Yu, C. and Zelterman, D. (2002) *Sums of dependent Bernoulli random variables and disease clustering.* Statist. Probab. Lett. **57**(4), 363–373.

[20] Zelterman, D. (2004) *Discrete Distributions. Applications in the Health Sciences.* John Wiley & Sons, New York.

[21] Zhang, Y. and Zhang, L. (2015) *On the almost sure invariance principle for dependent Bernoulli random variables.* Statist. Probab. Lett. **107**, 264–271.